

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/653,323	09/02/2003	David Mark Bergeron	A01038A	2974
21898 7:	590 05/24/2005		EXAM	INER
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19106-2399		1754	
			DAME MAST CD. 05 0 4 0000	-

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/653,323	BERGERON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wayne Langel	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ <u>.</u> .	
· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>5-17,22-33, 35-47 and 55-66</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	
8) Claim(s) <u>5-17,22-33,35-47 and 55-66</u> are subjection	ect to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		- 1 - 1
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do d.o.d.	3 · · · · (a) · · · · · ·
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		—··
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , ,	t received.
A44b4/-\		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 5-13, 22-33 and 35, drawn to a process for producing hydrogen cyanide, classified in class 423, subclass 376.

- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass129.
- III. Claims 36-47and 61-66, drawn to a method of producing hydrogen cyanide, classified in class 423, subclass 376.
- IV. Claims 55-60, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect due nto the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of a conical internal surface of the outlet transition section.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head. Claims 61-66 are

Art Unit: 1754

separate and distinct from claims 5-13, 22-33 and 35 since claims 14-17 and 55-60 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 5-13 and 22-33 for patentability.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of a conical internal surface of the outlet transition section versus the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head. Claims 61-66 are separate and distinct from claims 14-17 since claims 5-13, 22-33 and 55-60 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 14-17 for patentability.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of a conical

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

internal surface of the outlet transition section versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel. Claims 61-66 are separate and distinct from claims 55-60 since claims 5-17 and 22-33 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 55-60 for patentability.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayńe Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754